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Students' Integer Reasoning: Results From a Large-Scale Study
We share findings from a large-scale study of $160 \mathrm{~K}-12$ students' ways of reasoning about integer addition and subtraction during individual problem-solving interviews. Findings are from students' solutions to open number sentences (decontextualized problems such as $-3+\square=6$ ) and include

- Young children can reason productively about negative numbers. We found that $1 / 2$ of all $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ graders in our study had heard of negative integers before any school-based integer instruction, and $3 / 4$ of $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ graders were able to solve at least 1 integer problem correctly.
- We have identified five broad categories of reasoning that $\mathrm{K}-12$ students use when solving open number sentences. Across grade levels, the patterns of use and frequency with which different ways of reasoning are used vary (see chart below).

| Ways of <br> Reasoning |  | Definition | Ways of Reasoning \% Use <br> (by total \# of problems) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $2 / 4$ No ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $2 / 4$ Yes | 7 th | 11 th |  |
| Order | Using the sequential and ordered nature of numbers to reason about a problem <br> (e.g., counting strategies or a number line with motion). | $0 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Analogical | Relating negative numbers to another idea/concept and reasoning about <br> negative numbers on the basis of behaviors observed in this other concept. <br> Negative numbers may be related to a countable amount or quantity and tied <br> to ideas about cardinality/magnitude, or they may be related to contexts. | $0 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Formal | Treating negative numbers as formal objects that exist in a system and are <br> subject to fundamental mathematical principles that govern behavior. Formal <br> strategies often involve comparisons to other, known, problems so that the <br> logic of the approach remains consistent and underlying structural principles <br> are not violated. | $0 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Computational | Using a procedure, rule, or calculation to arrive at an answer. | $13 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Alternative | Using strategies that reflect incomplete or limited views of negative numbers <br> and may have invalid mathematical foundations. At times, the domain of <br> possible solutions is locally restricted to W. | $93 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $<1 \%$ |

Note. Because students can use more than one way of reason to solve a problem, column-percentage sums are larger than $100 \%$. ${ }^{a}$ Students without negative numbers in their number domains.

- Problem types are important, and are important in different ways for different grade levels. For example, before integer instruction, $-5--3=\square$ and $-5+-1=\square$ are the easiest problems for $2^{\text {nd }} / 4^{\text {th }}$-grade children ( $87 \%$ correct each), yet $6+-3=\square$ and $6--2=\square$ are among the harder questions for them ( $13 \%$ correct each). And, $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ graders do better than $7^{\text {th }}$ graders on $-5--3$ $=\square$ and $-5+-1=\square$ ( $78 \%$ and $83 \%$ correct, respectively, for $7^{\text {th }}$ grade). Our data enable us to account for these results on the basis of the ways of reasoning students used. We believe that way of reasoning, problem type, and grade level interact, and we are in the process of analyzing these interactions.
- Flexibility is a measure of the variety of ways of reasoning (WoR) used to solve integer tasks; it indicates whether a student uses primarily one WoR or chooses different WoR depending on the affordances of the problem. We found that flexibility increases when we move up grade levels and is positively correlated with performance in our data, both across grades $(r=.6)$ and within grades ( $r=.36, .40, .34$ for $2 / 4,7^{\text {th }}$, and $11^{\text {th }}$, respectively).
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## Implications for Future Research

We see two primary directions for future research that build on our work: (a) continued research on students' integer conceptions (e.g., longitudinal studies, studies using different sets of integer tasks, or further exploration/extension of WoR), and (b) research on teaching/ teachers while teachers use this knowledge to inform integer instruction.
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